

























Protecting the rights of creators and artists in the development of generative Al

Joint letter to the European Parliament's JURI Committee on the upcoming own-initiative report on copyright and generative AI

Brussels, 19 June 2025

Dear Member of the Legal Affairs (JURI) Committee,

We are writing to you on behalf of a coalition of professional organisations representing the collective voice of hundreds of thousands of writers, translators, journalists, performers, composers, songwriters, screen directors, screenwriters, visual artists, and other artists and creative workers.

First of all, we would like to thank the European Parliament and its Legal Affairs Committee for drafting a report on "Copyright and generative artificial intelligence – opportunities and challenges." It is a crucial opportunity to engage in a long-overdue, democratic debate on generative Al and its impact on copyright – a debate that never took place when the text and data mining (TDM) exceptions (Articles 3 and 4 of the EU CDSM Directive) were adopted more than six years ago.

As of today, generative AI models have already exploited massive amounts of protected works without any authorisation, remuneration or transparency for the authors, artists and performers we represent. These generative AI models would not exist without the works created by our members: yet, they now directly compete with them, threatening to displace human creativity and labour with devastating economic effects on the cultural and creative sectors (CCS). We have never experienced copyright exceptions so unclear, so widely misused and so damaging to our creative communities. This is not just unfair – it is unacceptable.

We call on the Legal Affairs Committee to draft an **ambitious report** addressing our concerns around the implementation of the Al Act and the questionable applicability of the text and data mining (TDM) exception (Article 4 of the CDSM Directive). Ultimately, we urge MEPs to place the key principles of **authorisation**, **remuneration and transparency** at the heart of this report.

Our asks to Members of the European Parliament

In the context of the forthcoming European Parliament report on copyright and generative AI, we urge you to:

 Hold a democratic debate on the applicability of the TDM exceptions, clarifying their scope in a way that safeguards the legitimate interests of rightsholders and ensures compliance with the three-step test.¹

¹ The three-step test is a fundamental safeguard intended to strike a fair balance between rightsholders and content users by limiting copyright and neighbouring right exceptions to (1) certain special cases that (2) do not conflict with the normal exploitation of the works or other subject matter and (3) do not unreasonably prejudice the legitimate interests of rightsholders. First established by the Berne Convention, the test was included in Directive 2001/29 and in the 2019 CDSM Directive.

The European Commission has retrospectively adopted a broad interpretation of Article 4 of the CDSM Directive to cover the systematic and extensive use of creators' protected works and performances without any authorisation – despite the fact that Art. 4 of the CDSM Directive was adopted years before the sudden rise of generative AI technologies and the Directive does not mention or define "Artificial Intelligence" and "Generative AI".

This interpretation does not meet any public policy objective and contradicts both international law and the CJEU case law, as the Court found that general opt-out mechanisms are not valid substitutes for consent and, by conditioning the exercise of exclusive rights to a formality, they violate Art. 5.2 of the Berne Convention (Soulier & Doke, 2016). It has also recently been called into question by several Member States, who expressed the view that copyright uses for AI training go beyond the scope of the TDM exception.² Last but not least, an EPRS study presented in the JURI Committee on 6 June found that the TDM exceptions were misread and not designed for this scale or purpose.

Such interpretation has a devastating impact on authors and performers, but also fails to provide legal certainty to generative AI models, facing legal challenges and potential liability in the future. More generally, it has allowed generative AI models to put the cart before the horse by using this exception in full opacity without even giving a chance to creators to provide their consent and exercise their right of reservation.

In this context, various fundamental questions remain open, including (a) the type of uses covered by the TDM exceptions in the context of generative AI, (b) which rightsholders are entitled to reserve the rights ("opt out") for different uses, and (c) whether rights reservations expressed by rightsholders after their works were scraped and used for training by AI providers can be enforced retroactively.

2. Call for an effective and timely implementation of the Al Act and ensure a high level of transparency to protect authors' and performers' rights.

As a potential postponement of the application of the AI Act is considered, we reject such a delay and call instead for its effective and timely implementation addressing its shortcomings and ensuring that the GPAI Code of Practice and the transparency template do not undermine Union copyright law but instead allow the authors, performers and creative workers we represent to effectively exercise their rights.

As highlighted in a recent statement signed by a broad group of rightsholders' organisations,³ the **third draft of Code of Practice undermines the AI Act**'s obligation to ensure compliance with Union copyright law through language such as "reasonable efforts", watering down GPAI providers' responsibility and hindering compliance with rights reservations, as well as diluting other key provisions of Union copyright law and the AI Act itself. In this regard, Spain, Portugal, Italy and Hungary stressed the importance of safeguarding copyright and transparency under the AI Act,⁴ supported by eleven additional Member States in the Education, Youth, Culture and Sport Council on 13 May.

Likewise, the template summary of training data needs to lead to actionable transparency regarding all protected works and performances used for training. Its disclosure should not be hindered by trade secrecy claims. Disclosing the "ingredient list" (i.e. the training data) is essential for transparency and does not equate to disclosing the proprietary "recipe" used to create the models. In fact, withholding such information would disregard the legislative mandate of the AI Act, which states that the aim of the template should be to "facilitate parties with legitimate interests, including copyright holders, to exercise and enforce their rights under Union law" (Recital 107). Without adequate information about the training data, rightsholders will be unable to ascertain

² https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-16710-2024-REV-1/en/pdf

³ https://europeanwriterscouncil.eu/wp-content/uploads/2025/04/Joint-statement-on-the-Third-Draft-Code-of-Practice-28-March-2025-updated-v-07042025-002.pdf

⁴ https://data.consilium.europa.eu/doc/document/ST-8188-2025-REV-2/en/pdf

whether their works and performances have been used and will effectively be prevented from exercising their rights.

Furthermore, we encourage the **European Parliament to support a legal presumption of use of protected works by GPAI providers.** In a context where those providers reject transparency and prevent rightsholders to prove that their works and performances have been used, such a presumption would ease the burden of proof currently placed on rightsholders and assist them in exercising their rights effectively.

3. Ensure authors and performers can effectively authorise the use(s) of their works and performances in the context of generative AI (opt-in) and encourage functional solutions to remunerate them in an appropriate and proportionate manner.

In our view, authors and performers should always be able to provide a prior explicit and informed authorisation for any use of their works and performances for the purpose of training generative AI. We reject the notion that such authorisation may be granted "on their behalf" by third entities unless such entitlement has been expressly and knowingly transferred to them.

Based on such authorisations, any licences should a) trigger the application of Articles 18 to 23 of the CDSM Directive, including the appropriate and proportionate remuneration of authors and performers, and b) remunerate for both the input and the output of GPAI models.

4. Ensure that the moral rights and personal data of authors and performers are protected.

When generative AI technologies scrape and ingest the work of performers and other creative workers, this inevitably also involves the processing of their **voice**, **likeness**, **and other personal data**. The use of AI-generated deep fakes and other AI-manipulated content poses a significant threat, not only to our democracies and citizens' trust in the authenticity of digital content but also to the reputation of our members. **The personal data and moral rights of authors and performers** are too often disregarded or ignored by generative AI models – those rights should be upheld and protected rather than ignored.

To conclude, Europe's creative communities call on you to draft an ambitious report addressing the several unresolved issues left by the current EU legal framework, while promoting the development of generative AI in full compliance with EU copyright law and the principles of informed authorisation, remuneration and transparency for authors, performers, and other rightsholders.

List of signatories

CEATL (European Council of Literary Translators' Associations) was created in 1993 as a platform where literary translators' associations from different European countries could exchange views and information, and join forces to improve status and working conditions of translators. It now unites 38 member associations from 30 countries across Europe, representing some 10,000 individual literary translators.

Web: www.ceatl.eu / EU Transparency Register ID: 65913704675-82

ECSA (European Composer and Songwriter Alliance) represents over 30,000 professional composers and songwriters in 29 European countries. With 59 member organisations across Europe, the Alliance speaks for the interests of music creators of art & classical music (contemporary), film & audiovisual music, as well as popular music.

Web: www.composeralliance.org / EU Transparency Register ID: 71423433087-91

EFJ (European Federation of Journalists) is the largest organisation of journalists in Europe, representing over 320,000 journalists in 73 journalists' organisations across 45 countries. The EFJ is recognised by the European Union and the Council of Europe as the representative voice of journalists in Europe. The EFJ is a member of the European Trade Union Confederation (ETUC).

Web: www.europeanjournalists.com / EU Transparency Register ID: 27471236588-39

EGAIR (European Guild for Artificial Intelligence Regulation) is a network of creatives and associations from all over Europe, lobbying for the protection of artists' works and data from Al companies. Originally founded by MeFu, the Italian association of comic book creators, EGAIR now represents over 20.000 creatives, artists and associations.

Web: www.egair.eu / EU Transparency Register ID: 385629348610-21

EWC (European Writers' Council) is the world's largest federation representing solely authors from the book sector and constituted by 53 national professional writers' and literary translators' associations from 34 countries. EWC members comprise over 250.000 professional authors, writing and publishing in 37 languages.

Web: https://europeanwriterscouncil.eu / EU Transparency Register ID: 56788289570-24

FERA (Federation of European Screen Directors) represents film and TV directors at European level, with 48 directors' associations as members from 35 countries. Founded in 1980, FERA speaks for more than 20,000 European screen directors, representing their cultural, creative and economic interests.

Web: https://screendirectors.eu / EU Transparency Register ID: 29280842236- 21

FIA (International Federation of Actors) is a global union federation representing performers' trade unions, guilds and professional associations in about 70 countries. In a connected world of content and entertainment, it stands for fair social, economic and moral rights for audio-visual performers working in all recorded media and live theatre.

Web: www.fia-actors.com / EU Transparency Register ID: 24070646198-51

FIM (International Federation of Musicians) is the only body representing professional musicians and their trade unions globally, with members in about 65 countries covering all regions of the world. Founded in 1948, FIM is recognised as an NGO by diverse international authorities such as the ILO, WIPO, UNESCO, the European Commission, the European Parliament or the Council of Europe.

Web: https://www.fim-musicians.org / EU Transparency Register ID: 01953872943-65

FSE (Federation of Screenwriters in Europe) is a network of national and regional associations, guilds and unions of writers for the screen in Europe, created in June 2001. It comprises 25 organisations from 19 countries, representing more than 7,000 screenwriters in Europe.

Web: www.federationscreenwriters.eu / EU Transparency Register ID: 642670217507-74

IAO (International Artist Organisation) is the umbrella association for national organisations advocating for the rights and interests of the Featured Artists in the music industry. Our main interests are transparency, the protection of intellectual property rights and a fair reflection of the value an artist's work generates.

Web: www.iaomusic.org / EU Transparency Register ID: 490166825799-90

IFJ (International Federation of Journalists) is the world's largest organisation of journalists, representing 600,000 media professionals from 187 trade unions and associations in more than 140 countries.

Web: www.ifj.org / EU Transparency Register ID: 999725935832-94

UNI MEI - UNI - Media, Entertainment and Arts unites over 140 unions and guilds to raise standards and enforce rights for more than 500.000 creatives, technicians and auxiliary workers. Together, our members work for a fair, inclusive, equal, and sustainable global entertainment industry and a just transformation.

Web: www.uniglobalunion.org / EU Transparency Register ID: 605859248462-93

UVA (United Voice Artists) is a global coalition of voice acting guilds, associations, and unions that have united to pursue their shared goals of protecting and preserving the act of creating, in particular, through the human voice. This collaborative effort brings together prominent associations and unions from the European Union, including France, Spain, Italy, Germany, Austria, Belgium, and Poland, as well as organizations in Switzerland, Turkey, the United States of America, Africa and in South America.

Web: www.unitedvoiceartists.com / EU Transparency register ID: 810100650765-18